Abstract

Objective: To assess the diagnostic value of a particular set of local intensity parameters extracted from ultrasound liver images in conjunction with support vector machine (SVM) classifiers for liver steatosis grading in respect to the “gold standard” provided by liver biopsy. Material and methods: We prospectively enrolled in the study 228 patients with chronic hepatopathies. All the patients underwent liver biopsy and abdominal ultrasound examination. For quantitative ultrasound assessment of liver steatosis, an image analysis software was developed, which extracts three local intensity parameters from regions of interest (ROI) in the ultrasound section and analyzes their depth variation: the coefficient of variation of luminance (CVL), the median luminance (m), and the hepato-splenic attenuation index (HSAI). For steatosis grading, SVM classifiers were trained on the input feature spaces provided by the above mentioned parameters. The statistical significance of the steatosis grading was assessed on a significant test set using SVM classifiers, in terms of sensibility, specificity and through the ROC curves. Results: A cut-off value of 0.362 of the CVL of the liver performed the liver steatosis grading with an accuracy of 89.17% (p<0.0001). A cut-off value of 0.27 of the HSAI performed the prediction of the moderate-severe liver steatosis with an accuracy of 87%. Conclusions: The proposed computer analysis method of ultrasound images proved innovative and useful for the initial non-invasive assessment and grading of liver steatosis, with an additional advantage of reduced computational complexity and accessibility. The CVL provided a very good accuracy (89.17%) for an AUROC of 0.923 for the classification of liver steatosis in two severity categories (mild versus moderate-severe).
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Introduction

Hepatic steatosis (HS) is an anatomo-clinical entity frequently encountered in most diffuse chronic liver diseases (viral, nonalcoholic fatty liver, alcoholic etc.). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is currently the most common cause of chronic liver diseases, affecting 1 billion people worldwide [1]; by 2030 it is expected to become the main indication for liver transplantation [2]. Chronic viral hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV) are both associated with HS, with a prevalence of 14-70% for HBV and 62-76% for HCV, especially in association with the HCV genotype 3a [3]. Though HS was earlier considered a benign condition, many recent studies indicate that fatty liver is more vulnerable to various aggressive factors, ultimately leading to inflammation, fibrosis, and cirrhosis [4]. Furthermore, in patients with HBV and HCV, the presence of HS was associated with a progression of hepatic fibrosis (HF) and a lack of response to antiviral therapy [5-7].

In view of the above, the assessment and grading of HS severity in patients with diffuse chronic liver diseases is essential. The liver biopsy, despite its limitations and inconveniences (i.e., its invasive nature, risk of compli-
Due to its accessibility, simplicity and non-ionizing character, the most frequently used imaging method to assess HS is hepatic ultrasound (US), especially popular in chronic hepatopathies, alternative non-invasive reproducible methods to assess HS have been researched and developed [10].

One of the most frequently used imaging methods to assess HS is hepatic ultrasound (US), especially popular due to its accessibility, simplicity and non-ionizing character; furthermore, hepatic US has been shown to provide a rather good accuracy in the identification of the moderate-severe HS [11]. However, there are some important limitations of the hepatic US imaging procedure, such as: lack of differentiation between HS and steatohepatitis; inability to quantitatively assess the liver fat by simple visual examination; difficulty to accurately discriminate HS and HF, since the two conditions can result in a visually similar US pattern [12]. These limitations can be overcome by the means of US images computer analysis (USICA). To quantitatively describe HS, USICA uses either echogenicity features of the hepatic parenchyma, either ultrasound attenuation features or local texture features (extracted from local histograms of regions of interest in the US liver section). The first studies for USICA adopted the non-separable wavelet transform to discriminate between the states of normal, steatosis, and cirrhosis or extracted the first and second-order grayscale parameters from the liver ultrasound images and employed a Bayesian classifier for the optimal eigenvector selection to categorize the diffuse liver disease (including fatty liver) [13,14]. Intensity histogram, intensity co-occurrence matrix, or texture feature number could be useful and important ultrasound characteristics to identify liver disease and to differentiate between fatty and normal liver [15,16]. Lupson et al, using the US attenuation coefficient calculated in the US image, predicted mild, respectively moderate/severe steatosis with an AUROC of 0.734 and 0.842, respectively [17].

Most of the recent studies use the so-called sonographic hepato-renal index (SHRI) to differentiate between the HS and the non-fatty liver. Significant correlation between steatosis (evaluated by liver biopsy and MRI spectroscopy) and SHRI has been found in several studies. The sensitivity and specificity of SHRI varied in different studies between 81.4-92.7% and 54-93%, respectively [18-22].

This study aims to explore a simple, low cost, and sensitive method for USICA that can detect and quantify liver fat content. The coefficient of variation of luminance (CVL), the local median intensity in the liver US section (mi) and the hepato-splenic attenuation index (HSAI) have been extracted as numerical features and used for the characterization of HS, in reference to the histopathological examination (as gold standard) on a cohort of patients with chronic hepatopathies.

**Material and methods**

Between years 2007-2010 we prospectively included in this study 228 subjects diagnosed with chronic diffuse viral hepatopathies C, B, and NAFLD. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Cluj-Napoca and informed consent was obtained from all participants according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. All the patients were subject to liver biopsy for the disease staging and HS quantification according to the Brunt score. The day before liver biopsy, each patient underwent abdominal ultrasound examination. The hepatic and splenic ultrasound sections were stored in a database used further by USICA. We mention that preliminary results were published before [23] and this study presents the final work.

**Liver histology assessment**

Percutaneous US guided liver biopsy was performed with a Tru-Cut needle (Bard Biopty-Gun) (16-gauge). A liver specimen of 13 mm with at least 16 portal tracts was considered adequate for evaluation. All biopsy specimens were examined by the same pathologist blinded to the USICA results. The extent of HS and the degree of HF were assessed histologically according to the Brunt and Metavir criteria, respectively [24].

**US examination and computerized image analysis**

For the US examination, we used a Megas-Esaote Biomedica Italia ultrasound machine with a convex transducer of 3.5 MHz. The hepatic and splenic US sections were taken by placing the transducer at the intercostal spaces IX-X; these sections were saved as bitmap image files in a database. All the US images were taken under the same settings of the ultrasound machine by the same examiner, the processing parameters being set to: B/M Gain - maximum, the curve “Time Gain Compensation” - minimum, the examination depth - 10 cm, so that the pre/post-processing curves (PST) are 2/4; the pulse repetition frequency (PRF) was set to 6.1 kHz.

For USICA we developed a Windows application which allowed the automatic localization of a ROI of 1cm×1cm (40×40 pixels) at three different depths in the liver parenchyma (0.5, 2, and 3.5 cm depth from the hepatic capsule) followed by a local feature extraction in each ROI from the ROIs intensity histogram (fig 1).

The most relevant features in terms of the HS description were: the median of the luminance m_i (numerical
descriptor of the median intensity in the ROI); the coefficient of variation of luminance, CVL, defined as the ratio of the standard deviation of the intensity in ROI to the mean intensity in ROI; and the hepato-splenic attenuation index (HSAI), defined as the difference between the local median intensity in a ROI of the liver (ml liver) and in a ROI of the spleen (ml spleen).

To assess the relevance of each feature, we employed support vector machine (SVM) classifiers to discriminate between the two HS severity classes (i.e., mild S0-S1 versus moderate-severe S2-S3); we trained the SVM classifiers on a set of 108 patients (train group) and used the remaining 120 patients as the test group.

Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of the numerical features extracted to assess the HS severity was done by SVM classification, well known for its excellent generalization performance [25,26]. We used non-linear SVMs with RBF kernel – the most suitable for many medical data classification problems. The classification results were expressed in terms of accuracy, sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), positive, and negative predictive values (PPV, NPV). The diagnostic performance of each feature was also assessed by ROC curves; the cut-off value was set to maximize the sum of Se and Sp.

Results

From 228 examined patients, (mean age 44±11.38 years, 117 male), 178 had chronic HCV infection. The histopathology reveals mild HS, S0-S1 (71.92%) and moderate-severe HS, S2-S3 (28.08%). In terms of HF, 44.73% of the patients were graded F2 and the others (67.09%) with no/mild HF (F0-F2).

For USICA we grouped the patients with HS S0 and S1 into mild HS, and the patients with HS S2 and S3 into moderate-severe HS.

The overall accuracy in the discrimination of the two HS classes by each extracted feature is given in Table I.

Table I. The classification accuracy for hepatic steatosis grading in each individual feature space using non-linear support vector machine classifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Classification accuracy (train group)</th>
<th>Classification accuracy (test group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median luminance (ml)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>88.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>89.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAI</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVL = coefficient of variation of luminance; HSAI = hepato-splenic attenuation index

Table II. Statistical performance of parameters (coefficient of variation of luminance, median of luminance, hepato-splenic attenuation index) for hepatic steatosis grading (S0-S1 vs S2-S3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CVL</th>
<th>ml</th>
<th>HSAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cut-off value</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se (%) [95% CI]</td>
<td>81.3 [71.7 - 90.8]</td>
<td>82.8 [73.6 - 92.1]</td>
<td>76.6 [66.2 - 86.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp (%) [95% CI]</td>
<td>89 [84.9 - 93.8]</td>
<td>75 [68.4 - 81.6]</td>
<td>80.5 [74.4 - 86.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV (%) [95% CI]</td>
<td>74.3 [64 - 84.5]</td>
<td>56.4 [46.4 - 66.4]</td>
<td>60.5 [49.8 - 71.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNV (%) [95% CI]</td>
<td>92.4 [88.3 - 96.5]</td>
<td>91.8 [87.1 - 96.4]</td>
<td>89.8 [84.9 - 94.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUROC [95% CI]</td>
<td>0.923 [0.87 - 0.97]</td>
<td>0.871 [0.81 - 0.93]</td>
<td>0.864 [0.8 - 0.92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVL = coefficient of variation of luminance, ml = median luminance, HSAI = hepato-splenic attenuation index, Se = sensitivity, Sp = specificity, PPV = predictive positive value, PNV = predictive negative value, AUROC = Area Under Receiver Operating Characteristic curve, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval
CVL achieved a good accuracy of 89.17%. At an optimal cut-off value of 0.362 for the study lot, CVL allowed the discrimination between mild and moderate-severe HS with 81.3% Se and 89% Sp, at AUROC close to the ideal value (0.923) (Table II).

The median liver luminance m shows a slightly inferior performance to the CVL, with an accuracy of 88.34% (Table II). The optimal cut-off value for the prediction of moderate-severe HS was 0.48, with Se and Sp of 82.8% and 75% for a good AUROC of 0.871.

The new feature HSAI allows the discrimination of HS in the two severity classes with an accuracy of 86.7%, Se of 76.6% and Sp of 80.5% for a good AUROC value of 0.864 (Table II).

The presence of significant HF (F≥2) did not influence the staging of HS (p=0.42 for mild HS versus p=0.73 for moderate-severe HS).

Discussions

In clinical practice, US is the first imaging method used for assessing chronic liver disease. The Se and Sp for HS detection using conventional B-mode US ranges between 60-94% and 88-95%, is even lower (80% and 55% respectively) when liver fat load is below 20% [27]. In contrast, USICA realized the objective quantification of US image characteristics of hepatic steatosis and showed significant advantages over traditional qualitative US. Using numerical features we were able to identify minimal changes in the liver echo pattern, which were impossible to distinguish by the naked eye.

In this study, the quantification of HS severity by USICA (using CVL, HSAI and m parameters) was significantly correlated with histopathological evaluation results and was not influenced by the HF presence and severity. Accuracy of classification, Se and Sp of quantitative non-invasive diagnosis of fat load using the above mentioned parameters have been significantly higher than when using conventional US examination, which shows that USICA can be confidently used in clinical practice for evaluating more accurately the severity of fatty liver content.

A 0.362 cut-off value of CVL parameter for 0.923 AUROC has been useful to identify patients who have moderate-severe HS (> 33%), taking into account that this category of patients are at the greatest risk of progression to inflammation, fibrosis and cirrhosis. Identifying these patients at risk is important because they are subject to regular surveillance and additional, more costly or invasive, diagnosis methods (e.g. liver biopsy).

Statistical performances of CVL for quantifying HS are superior to those reported by existing similar studies in the literature. Thus, using ultrasound attenuation coefficient (AC) (a parameter similar to CVL) Gaitini et al [16] achieved the prediction of severe HS with 88.9% accuracy, 90% Se and 88.5% Sp on a lot of 24 patients evaluated by liver biopsy. Lupstor et al [17], at a -0.114 cut-off value of AC for 0.842 AUROC, predicted severe HS (> 33%) on a cohort of 189 patients with viral chronic C hepatitis assessed by liver biopsy, with 84.2% Se and 78.53% Sp. A recent study [22] using a quantitative ultrasound model based on a combination of AC and hepatorenal attenuation index (SHRI) has predicted moderate HS with 81.4% Se and 100% Sp, but the assessment of fat load was performed by MRI spectroscopy as the gold standard. It is known that the accuracy of MRI spectroscopy to assess HS is lower than histopathological evaluation; in addition it does not allow HS classification by severity grade. Liver biopsy, despite its inconveniences, remains the reference method for HS severity grading [27].

The novelty in our study as compared with existing studies on USICA is the use, for the first time, of HSAI for the US quantitative assessment of HS. HSAI has the advantage of using the splenic parenchyma median intensity of the same patient as a reference knowing that both parenchymal tissues (liver and spleen) have similar echo features (echogenicity and texture) but the spleen does not accumulate fat. Furthermore, anatomically, the spleen is located at the same depth as the liver, compared with the thoracic wall, thus eliminating possible error factors (deep beam attenuation of ultrasound) linked to crossing/absorption of ultrasonic beam by the subcutaneous adipose tissue. According to our study, a 0.27 cut-off value of HSAI allows the separation between mild HS and moderate to severe HS with 87% accuracy, 76.6% sensitivity and 80.5% specificity. AUROC was 0.864. One possible explanation for the lower HSAI statistical performances to assess HS in our patients cohort is the disproportion in the number of patients with moderate-severe HS (28.08%) and mild HS (71.92%). This disproportion has led to classification errors in the test group by the SVM classifier. On a more evenly distributed lot of patients in terms of HS, we expect the diagnosis accuracy to increase.

As regards the usage of a composite parameter (to perform a quantitative assesment on the echogenicity of hepatic parenchyma loaded with fat in relation to other parenchyma with similar liver echogenicity), many previous studies have used the hepatorenal index for assessing HS. The sensitivity and specificity of HRI varied in different studies between 81.4 to 92.7% and 54-93% respectively. Wang [19] and Webb [18], using HRI for non-invasive quantification of the HS in relation to liver
biopsy (gold standard), on groups of 175 and respectively 111 patients, reported Se of 84-90% and Sp of 85-93% for the prediction of moderate-severe HS (> 25-30%). SHRI cut-off value was 7 [19] and respectively 2.23 [18]. The major limitation of these approaches is the use of a reference system (kidney), whose echogenicity may also be altered by intrinsic illnesses. Therefore, the use of the kidney as a standard reference is improper because its echogenicity can be altered by chronic kidney diseases.

Even though the HRI sensitivity and specificity for the detection of moderate severe HS reported by various recent studies [18-22] are better (84-90% Se, 85-93% Sp) compared to our results obtained by using CVL and HSAI parameters (76.6 to 81.3% Se, 80.9 to 89% Sp), we have to mention that these studies were conducted on smaller number of patients (88-175 pts) and not all of these studies used the histopathologic examination as validation method. For these reasons we believe that our findings could have even a better value as the above mentioned studies.

A limitation of our study is the imbalance between the two groups, namely the one with mild HS and the one with moderate-severe HS (164 vs 64 pts) which might justify some classification errors, especially in the group with S2-S3 steatosis. Moreover, using histopathology as a reference, it is possible that HS classification in the different severity classes might not be 100% accurate due to sampling errors, due to the fact that the Brunt score is semiquantitative and that the percentages close to the threshold between classes (e.g. 32 vs 34%, 64 vs 66%) are not properly labeled (thus automatically classifying the patient in another class of steatosis).

In conclusion, the proposed USICA method has proven to be an innovative, simple, accessible solution for the noninvasive assessment and quantification of HS, without being influenced by HF stage. The HSAI is a new, original parameter which facilitates quantification of liver steatosis even for mild degrees. It is reproducible and operator-independent and can be easily made available in routine clinical practice by integrating the USICA application to the US machine, thus improving the US diagnosis of HS by increasing Se and Sp specifically to identify mild HS (<33%) which is difficult to assess by using conventional (visual) US examination.
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